Delayed insulin absorption correlates with alterations in subcutaneous depot kinetics in rats with diet-induced obesity
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**Objective:** Obesity is associated with delayed insulin absorption upon subcutaneous (s.c.) dosing in humans. The aim of this study was to investigate whether alterations in depot structure and kinetics of the s.c. injection depot could contribute to this delay.

**Methods:** Rats fed a high-fat diet (HFD) and low-fat diet (LFD) were included in a series of insulin pharmacokinetic and imaging studies. Injection depots were visualized with µCT imaging upon s.c. dosing with insulin aspart mixed with the contrast agent iomeprol, and insulin aspart exposure was measured by means of Luminescent Oxygen Channeling Immunoassay.

**Results:** Body weight and fat mass was increased in rats fed a HFD vs. LFD (p<0.05), whereas the lean mass was not. The HFD group exhibited delayed insulin absorption from the s.c. tissue (p<0.001). This delay was associated with smaller injection depots upon s.c. dosing (p<0.05) and correlated with a slower depot disappearance from the s.c. tissue (p<0.05) compared to the LFD group. Depot disappearance from the s.c. tissue was inversely correlated with body fat mass (p<0.05).

**Conclusions:** Alterations in s.c. injection depot structure and kinetics may play a role in the obesity-associated delay in insulin absorption.
Introduction

A number of factors have been reported to affect the rate of insulin absorption from the subcutaneous (s.c.) tissue and represent a source of pharmacokinetic variability in people using s.c. insulin therapy (1). Obesity has been associated with delayed insulin absorption upon s.c. dosing in humans (2-5). This may partly be explained by an obesity-associated decrease in s.c. blood flow (2, 4, 5). The negative correlation between obesity and decreased s.c. blood flow has been observed in both fasting (2, 4-9) and postprandial states (7, 8, 10), where the latter is reflected by an attenuated rise in blood flow in response to an oral glucose load or a mixed meal. Both decreased capillary density (2, 11) and impaired vasomotor function (6) are thought to contribute to the decrease in s.c. blood flow.

Other factors related to the s.c. microenvironment likely also influence the insulin absorption profile. Upon s.c. dosing of insulin, an injection depot will form in the s.c. tissue. The distribution of this depot determines both the degree of depot dilution and the distance between the insulin molecules and the s.c. blood capillaries (12, 13). Consequently, the more the depot distributes in the s.c. tissue, the faster it likely absorbs into the circulation. This notion is supported by our recent findings, where it was observed that larger size depots correlated with a faster depot disappearance, and that the rate of depot disappearance correlated with insulin exposure in rats (14).

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether alterations in depot distribution and kinetics could contribute to the obesity-associated delay in insulin absorption. The effect of obesity was investigated in rats fed a high-fat diet as compared to rats fed a low-fat diet. The rats were included in a number of pharmacokinetic and micro X-ray computed tomography (µCT) studies, allowing us to investigate the link between depot kinetics and insulin pharmacokinetics (14). As a correlation between insulin pharmacokinetics and depot kinetics was expected (14), it was hypothesised that obesity would not only be associated with delayed insulin absorption, but also result in delayed disappearance of the injection depot upon s.c. dosing.

Methods

Animals

All procedures performed in this study were approved by the Danish Animal Experiment Inspectorate.
Figure 1 shows an overview of the study design. Male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats (Charles River, Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA) fed either a high-fat diet (HFD) or low-fat diet (LFD) were included in the study. The rats fed a HFD received a diet containing 60% fat from weaning to 22 weeks, and 45% fat from arrival at 22 weeks (D12492 and D12451, respectively), whereas rats fed a LFD received a diet containing 10% fat from weaning (D12450K, Research Diets, Inc., New Brunswick, NJ 08901 USA). The effect of diet on insulin absorption was investigated when the rats were 33-34-weeks of age. The rats were subjected to µCT scans at 36-38 weeks of age. Finally, intravenous insulin profiles were obtained from 39-week old rats.

Body composition

Body lean and fat mass was determined in all rats using EchoMRI Body Composition Analyser (EchoMRI, Houston, TX, USA) (15) when the rats were 26 and 30 weeks of age (rats included in the neck and flank dosing studies, respectively). Animal weights were recorded throughout the study period.

Insulin absorption

Insulin absorption upon s.c. neck dosing was investigated in HFD and LFD rats (n=22 and n=10, respectively). Rats were s.c. dosed in the neck with 12 nmol insulin aspart (20 µL NovoRapid®, Novo Nordisk, DK-2880 Bagsværd) and blood was collected from the sublingual vein at 5, 15 and 60 minutes post dosing, enabling us to assess the insulin levels prior to, at and after the expected insulin peak plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) (14). This experiment was repeated five times for each rat, enabling us to assess the pharmacokinetic variability across the two diet groups (14).

Injection depot visualisation

Eight rats from each diet group were included in a µCT study for depot visualization, as previously described (14). In short, rats were anaesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently dosed in the neck with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed in a ratio of 80/20 with the contrast agent iomeprol (Novorapid® and Iomerol 350®, Bracco Imaging Scandinavia, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø). The rats were then subjected to µCT scans at 1, 3, 7 and 13 min post dosing (Quantum XT, PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA), and insulin exposure was determined by collecting blood from the tail at 5 and 15 minutes post dosing. The experiment was repeated three times for each rat.

Based on the imaging results obtained from the rats dosed in the neck, a similar study was performed in rats dosed in the flank (HFD, n=7; LFD, n=6) following a similar protocol. This was done in order to investigate...
whether the findings on insulin pharmacokinetics and depot kinetics in the neck also apply to other injection regions. However, the scan time was prolonged to 17 minutes as a slower absorption rate upon flank dosing was expected (14). The experiment was repeated four times for each rat in order to increase study power, as fewer rats were included compared to the neck dosing µCT study. The rats dosed in the flank were selected based on body composition and weight so that similar differences in these parameters across the diet groups were obtained as in µCT study with neck dosing. I.v. insulin profiles were also investigated in these rats as described below.

**Intravenous insulin profiles**

To rule out potential differences in insulin clearance between rats in the two diet groups, insulin i.v. profiles were obtained from both diet groups in an insulin elimination study (HFD, n=6; LFD, n=6). All rats were anaesthetized with isoflurane prior to i.v. injection of insulin aspart (1 nmol/kg), and blood was collected from the tail at 3, 7, 15, 30, 60, 120 and 180 minutes post dosing.

**Biochemical analysis**

Quantification of plasma insulin aspart was performed with luminescent oxygen channeling immunoassay (LOCI) technology (16).

**Data handling and statistical analyses**

Welch’s t-test—or where applicable Mann-Whitney test—was used to compare body weight and body composition between the diet groups. Statistical analyses of depots and insulin pharmacokinetics were performed as previously described (14): Volume and surface area of the injection depots detected on µCT scans were analysed in the imaging software Imaris (Imaris, Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland), and all repeated measurements of insulin exposure and depot structure were included in a mixed-model analysis with day and rat as random factor. The R-packages lme4 (17) and lmerTest (18) were used for the analysis. CT scans where the entire depot was not included (11 out of 397 scans) and pharmacokinetic profiles from unsuccessful dosings (4 out of 260 dosings) were excluded from the statistical analyses. One rat was excluded from the analysis when evaluating the i.v. profiles of insulin aspart, as sample contamination was suspected. The correlation between fat mass and decrease in depot volume/surface area over time was investigated by means of linear regression analysis.
Statistical significance was defined by a p-value less than 0.05 and all data are reported as mean ± SEM. Means of repeated measurements in each group are figured as the mean of the averages from all rats. Since the depot volume and surface area were closely correlated ($R^2=0.83$, $p<0.001$ by linear regression analysis) and thus generally yielded comparable results in terms of both depot kinetics and their correlation with insulin exposure, only depot volume data are included in the result section and figures. Finally, pharmacokinetic variability was estimated by simple methods by calculating the coefficient of variation (CV) for insulin exposure at 5, 15 and 60 min post dosing for all rats, and is reported as the mean CV% with 95% confidence interval.

**Results**

**Body weight and composition**

The HFD group had a significantly higher mean body weight compared to the LFD group throughout the study period: 777±13g vs. 658±20g, and 869±20g vs. 731±25g when rats were 30 and 39 weeks of age, respectively ($p<0.05$ or less). The mean fat mass was also significantly increased in the HFD group ($p<0.001$, Figure 2A), whereas the mean lean mass was not changed.

**Insulin pharmacokinetics**

There was an effect of diet intervention on the pharmacokinetics of insulin aspart. This was reflected by significantly lower mean insulin concentration at 5, 15 and 60 minutes post dosing and reduced area under the curve (AUC$_{0-60}$ min, $p<0.01$) in the HFD compared to the LFD group ($p<0.001$, Figure 2B). Similar results were observed after weight-normalizing the insulin exposure ($p<0.001$, Figure 2C). Despite injection region, the mean insulin concentration at 5 and 15 minutes post dosing was also lower in the HFD group during the µCT scanning when the rats were anaesthetized ($p<0.05$ or less, Figure 2D). The injection region also had an effect on insulin pharmacokinetics, as rats dosed in the flank had a significantly lower mean insulin concentration compared to the rats dosed in the neck at 5 and 15 min ($p<0.001$, Figure 2D).

The total variability in exposure (CV%) for the HFD and LFD group at 5, 15 and 60 min was comparable: 29 [23; 34] vs. 35 [29; 40], 23 [19; 28] vs. 26 [18; 33] and 37 [31; 43] vs. 40 [25; 56].

Evaluating the intravenous profiles of insulin aspart from the two diet groups, no significant differences in a number of pharmacokinetic parameters were found across the diet groups, including the insulin concentrations at all sampling time points, the volume of distribution ($V_d$), the clearance rate ($Cl_B$) and the eliminations half-life.
Thus, the i.v. pharmacokinetic profiles of insulin aspart seem to be comparable between the two diet groups.

**Depot kinetics**

Examples of injection depots detected in a HFD and LFD rat are shown in Figure 3A. As previously observed, the highest concentration of iomeprol was located in the centre of the injection depot upon s.c. dosing (14). Figure 3B shows the changes in mean depot volume over time in rats s.c. dosed in the neck, where it is evident that the depot volume declines over time in both diet groups. However, compared to the LFD group, the injection depots in the HFD were initially smaller in size, reflected by a significantly smaller mean depot volume at 1 minute post dosing (p<0.01). Moreover, these depots disappeared slower from the s.c. tissue, as measured by a smaller mean decrease in depot volume over time in the HFD group (ΔVol₁-₃min, ΔVol₁-₇min and ΔVol₁-₁₃min: p<0.05 or less).

Similar findings were observed when the rats were dosed in the flank where the HFD group had significantly smaller mean depot volumes at 1 and 3 min, larger mean depot volumes at 13 and 17 min post dosing and a smaller mean decrease in depot volume over time (ΔVol₁-₇min, ΔVol₁-₁₃min and ΔVol₁-₁₇min: p<0.05, Figure 3C). Despite diet group, the depot distribution and kinetics differed across the two injection regions. This was reflected by initially smaller depot volume and slower depot disappearance in rats dosed in the flank vs. the neck (p<0.05 or less, Figure 3D for the HFD animals).

In order to assess whether the initial depot distribution had an influence on the depot disappearance rate, the link between depot volume at 1 minute post dosing and the decrease in depot volume over time was investigated. Results showed a significant correlation between initial depot volume and the depot volume over time, irrespective of diet group and dosing region (Vol₁ min vs. ΔVol₁-₃min, Vol₁ min vs. ΔVol₁-₁₃min, p<0.01, data not shown).

There was a significant correlation between the speed of depot disappearance and the insulin concentration, irrespective of injection region and diet group (ΔVol₁-₃min vs. insulin 5 min, p<0.05 or less; ΔVol₁-₁₃min vs. insulin 15 min, p<0.001, Figure 3E). Thus, the faster the depot disappeared from the s.c. tissue, the higher the insulin exposure. Finally, it was also found that the average depot disappearance rate was negatively correlated to the total body fat mass, i.e. the higher the fat mass, the slower the depot disappeared from the s.c. tissue (Neck dosing: p<0.05 and R²=0.28; Flank dosing: p<0.01 and R²=0.64; all dosings: p<0.001 and R²=0.37, Figure 3F).
Discussion

Feeding of a diet rich in fat has been reported to be accompanied by an expansion in adipose tissue mass in rats (19-22). Accordingly, it was observed that rats fed a high-fat diet had a significantly higher body weight and fat mass compared to rats fed a low-fat diet, whereas the lean mass was comparable across the diet groups, indicating that the diet intervention used in this study can be used to assess the effect of obesity on insulin pharmacokinetics and depot structure in rats.

As reported in people with obesity (2-5), a delayed insulin absorption was also observed in the rats fed a high-fat diet in this study. This may partly be attributed to alterations in the distribution and kinetics of the injection depot, since the depots from rats fed a high-fat diet were both smaller in size (indicating a smaller distribution upon s.c. dosing) and disappeared slower from the s.c. tissue, as measured by a smaller decrease in depot volume over time. The more an injection depot distributes in the s.c. compartment, the shorter the distance will likely be for insulin to be absorbed by blood capillaries, since insulin needs to travel through the extracellular matrix prior to trans-capillary transport. An increased depot distribution is also believed to result in increased and faster dilution of the injection depot, thus yielding a higher concentration of insulin monomers and dimers that are associated with a faster absorption compared to insulin hexamers, as previously discussed (13, 14, 23). Therefore it may not be surprising that a significant positive correlation between the initial depot size and the speed of depot disappearance was found (the larger the depot, the faster the depot disappearance), and between the speed of depot disappearance and insulin exposure (the faster the depot disappears, the faster insulin is absorbed into the circulation). Thus, the depot structure and kinetics detected on the CT scans can be used to predict the insulin exposure and may partly explain the obesity-associated delay in insulin absorption. The fact that a slow depot disappearance is linked to adipose tissue mass is supported by the finding of a negative correlation between body fat mass and the speed of depot disappearance from the s.c. tissue.

Although the CT scans in this study only detect the distribution of the contrast media and not of insulin aspart, a correlation between depot kinetics and insulin exposure was observed. Furthermore, a similar distribution of insulin aspart and another non-ionic water-soluble contrast agent has been reported in pig s.c. tissue, as previously discussed (14, 24). Thus, it is reasonable to assume that depots detected on the CT represent the insulin injection depot.
Obesity is known to result in expansion of the adipose tissue in both humans and rodents, occurring as a result of adipocyte hypertrophy, hyperplasia or a combination of both depending on the region of interest (20, 21, 25-27). Obesity could therefore increase the adipose tissue to interstitial fluid ratio in the s.c. compartment, which to a larger degree may limit the distribution of insulin aspart in the s.c. tissue, since insulin aspart preparation is hydrophilic and thus likely only distributes in the interstitial fluid upon s.c. dosing. In addition to limiting depot distribution, an expansion of the adipose tissue mass in rats with diet-induced obesity may also result in reduced s.c. blood flow, likely as a result of reduced capillary density and consequently capillary area available for insulin diffusion, which may delay depot disappearance and consequently insulin absorption. Furthermore, it still remains to be determined whether these rats have impaired vasomotor function. Blood flow measurements and tissue characterization could thus be relevant for future studies in order to differentiate between the relative contribution of s.c. blood flow, injection depot structure or additional factors to the delayed depot disappearance/insulin absorption.

Irrespective of diet group, injection into the neck vs. the flank was associated with faster insulin absorption. Furthermore, the regional differences in insulin pharmacokinetics also correlated with depot kinetics. In humans, s.c. administration of insulin into the arm or abdomen is associated with an accelerated absorption compared to administration into the thigh, irrespective of body weight (2, 28-31). Thus, as in humans with obesity, rats fed a high-fat diet not only exhibit a delayed insulin absorption upon s.c. dosing, but the regional differences in insulin pharmacokinetics are maintained (2). We have previously found similar regional differences in insulin pharmacokinetics and depot kinetics in SD rats receiving standard chow (14), indicating that this phenomenon may occur irrespective of diet choice/obesity status.

When considering the impact of the insulin injection depot on insulin pharmacokinetics, this study supports how improvements in pharmaceutical formulation or administration technique can be used to diminish the obesity-associated delay in insulin absorption. Although their use is limited in the diabetic community, devices such as jet injectors e.g. allow for the insulin depot to be dispersed in a spray-like manner in the s.c. tissue, thus increasing its distribution. Accordingly, jet injectors have been reported to be associated with beneficial effect in people with obesity since the pharmacokinetic profile of insulin to a lesser degree is affected by the insulin dose or body weight (3). Simpler techniques that can be used to facilitate a more rapid insulin absorption include a dispersed injection strategy (32) or local massage of the injection site (33, 34). Adding excipients such as
hyaluronidase (35, 36) or Biochaperone (37) to the insulin formulation also represents another mechanism by which the depot distribution and diffusion in the s.c. tissue can be increased. These strategies may all facilitate a faster absorption from the s.c. tissue and consequently a faster glucose-lowering effect in people with obesity.

Conclusion

In addition to increased body weight and fat mass, rats fed a high-fat diet exhibited delayed insulin absorption upon s.c. dosing compared to rats fed a low-fat diet. This delay was associated with decreased depot distribution upon s.c. dosing and correlated with a slower depot disappearance. Thus, differences in depot structure and kinetics may contribute to the obesity-associated delay in insulin absorption.
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Figure 1: Study design

Male Sprague Dawley rats were fed either a high-fat diet (HFD, n=29) or low-fat diet (LFD, n=16) from weaning until the end of the study. Body composition was determined in all rats at week 26 and 30 (rats s.c. dosed in the neck and flank, respectively, in the insulin absorption/µCT study). When rats were 33-34 weeks of age, the effect of diet on insulin absorption was investigated in rats fed a HFD (n=22) and LFD (n=10) upon subcutaneous (s.c.) administration of insulin aspart in the neck. All rats were subjected to µCT scans at 36-38 weeks of age where they were s.c. dosed in the neck (HFD, n=8; LFD, n=8) or flank (HFD, n=7; LFD, n=6) with insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol. Intravenous insulin aspart profiles were obtained from 39-week old rats that had been dosed in the flank in the µCT study (HFD, n=6; LFD, n=6). Animal weight was recorded throughout the study period.
Figure 2: Body composition and insulin pharmacokinetics in rats fed a high-fat or low-fat diet

(A) Body composition, fat and lean mass, in rats fed a HFD (n=29) and LFD (n=16). (B) Insulin aspart levels in SD rats fed a HFD (n=22) and LFD (n=10) upon subcutaneous (s.c.) administration of 20 µL (12 nmol) insulin aspart in the neck. (C) Insulin aspart levels after weight normalization in SD rats fed a HFD (n=22) and LFD (n=10) upon s.c. administration of 20 µL (12 nmol) insulin aspart in the neck. (D) Insulin aspart levels in HFD and LFD groups upon s.c. dosing of 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomepril in the neck (HFD, n=8; LFD, n=8) or flank (HFD, n=7; LFD, n=6).
Data are shown as mean ± SE, and for the repeated measurements group means figured as the mean of the averages from all rats (B-D). **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by Welch’s t-test or Mann-Whitney analysis (A) or repeated mixed-model analysis with day and rat as random factors (B-D).
**Figure 3**: Injection depot kinetics and correlation with insulin pharmacokinetics in rats fed a high-fat or low-fat diet

(A) Arrows on CT images show subcutaneous (s.c.) neck depots from rats either fed a low-fat diet (LFD, top: 60.6 mm³ in size) and high-fat diet (HFD, bottom: 53.5 mm³ in size) at 1 minute post dosing with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol. (B) Depot volume over time in rats fed a HFD (n=8) or LFD (n=8) dosed s.c. in the neck with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol. (C) Depot volume over time in rats fed a HFD (n=8) or LFD (n=8) dosed s.c. in the flank with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol. (D) Depot volume over time in all rats fed HFD (n=8 and n=7 for neck and flank dosing, respectively) upon s.c. dosing with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol. For the LFD animals see profiles in 2B & 2C. (E) Decrease in depot volume over time (ΔVol₁₋₁₃min) was positively correlated with the insulin aspart levels at 15 minutes post dosing in LFD (n=14) and HFD rats (n=15) s.c. dosed with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol (p<0.001 by repeated mixed-model analysis with day and rat as random factors). (F) Fat mass was negatively correlated with the average decrease in depot volume over time (ΔVol₁₋₁₃min) in rats subjected to µCT scans and s.c. dosed with 20 µL insulin aspart mixed with iomeprol (n=29, p<0.001 by linear regression analysis).

Data in (B-D) are shown as mean ± SE, and means figured as the mean of the averages from all rats. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by repeated mixed-model analysis with day and rat as random factors (B-D).